

Housing Management Panel: East Area

- Date: 17 May 2022
- <u>Time:</u> 7.00pm
- Venue Hybrid Meeting

In Person: The Vale Community Room, 17A Hadlow Close, Brighton, BN2 0FH

Remote: Zoom

- <u>Members:</u> Councillor Brennan, Ward Councillors for the Area, Delegates of Tenants Association in the area.
- <u>Contact:</u> Thomas Bald Democratic Services Officer thomas.bald@brighton-hove.gov.uk

Agendas and minutes are published on the council's website <u>www.brighton-hove.gov.uk</u>. Agendas are available to view five working days prior to the meeting date.

Electronic agendas can also be accessed through our meetings app available through ModernGov: <u>iOS/Windows/Android</u>

This agenda and all accompanying reports are printed on recycled paper

AGENDA

| PAF | PART ONE | | |
|-----|--|--|---|
| 1 | WELCOM | | 5 - 6 |
| | , | is on Joining the Meeting in Person or Remotely | (Сору |
| 2 | (15 Minute Actions of | & MINUTES OF THE PREVIOUS MEETING es) the Meeting Held on the 15 February 2022 (Copy Attache f the Meeting Held on the 15 February 2022 (Copy Attache | , |
| 3 | (45 Minute | SES TO RESIDENT'S QUESTIONS es) s to Resident's Questions (Copies Attached): | 15 - 46 |
| | East East East East East East East | Attendance of Council Officers at Area Panel Meetings Window Replacements Drug Dealing in Craven Vale – Impact on Local Residents Replacement Doors and Windows Contract Compositing of items for Area Panel Scaffolding Service Charge Refunds | Environment Housing Housing CE Housing Housing |
| | Central Central Central | Insulation of Council Properties Repairs Service Estate Inspections | Housing Housing Housing |
| | North North | Refuse and recycling collection Kitchen Replacements | Environment Housing |
| | West | Avoiding Empty Homes | Housing |

BREAK

(5 Minutes)

4HOUSING COMMITTEE WORKPLAN PROGRESS UPDATE AND47 - 80HOUSING PERFORMANCE REPORT QUARTER 3 2021/2247 - 80

(20 Minutes)

Verbal Update from Ododo Dafe (Report Attached)

5 CITYCLEAN SERVICE, SANITATION, AND COVID

(20 Minutes)

Verbal Update by Rachel Chasseaud

6 POSITIVE COMMUNITY NEWS

(5 Minutes)

Verbal Updates from Attendees about Positive Things Happening in the Community.

7 ANY OTHER BUSINESS

81 - 84

(5 Minutes)

Tenant and Leaseholder Groups (Copy Attached)

FURTHER INFORMATION

For further details and general enquiries about this meeting contact, (01273 291354, email thomas.bald@brighton-hove.gov.uk) or email democratic.services@brighton-hove.gov.uk

FIRE / EMERGENCY EVACUATION PROCEDURE

If the fire alarm sounds continuously, or if you are instructed to do so, you must leave the building by the nearest available exit. You will be directed to the nearest exit by council staff. It is vital that you follow their instructions:

- You should proceed calmly; do not run and do not use the lifts;
- Do not stop to collect personal belongings;
- Once you are outside, please do not wait immediately next to the building, but move some distance away and await further instructions; and
- Do not re-enter the building until told that it is safe to do so.

Date of Publication – 29 April 2022

East Area Panel – meeting invitation

Dear Resident,

On behalf of the East Area Panel, I would like to invite you to the next meeting. This will be an **online zoom meeting**.

| When | Tuesday 17 May 2022 – from 18:45 to 21:00 | |
|-------|---|--|
| | (Zoom will open 18:45, Meeting starts at 19:00) | |
| | | |
| Venue | The Vale Community Room | |
| | 17A Hadlow Close, Brighton, BN2 0FH | |
| | | |
| Zoom | Please type the following address in your browser: | |
| | https://bit.ly/EAPMay22 | |
| | | |
| | There's no difference between uppercase/lowercase letters. You won't need to enter meeting ID or password. | |
| | If the link above doesn't work or you will join through the Zoom client instead, please use the following credentials: | |
| | Meeting ID: 834 2473 8418 Passcode: F0L16M | |
| | If you can't use a device able to connect to the internet, you can access the meeting through audio only, calling with a normal phone one of the following numbers and typing the meeting ID and passcode when asked: | |
| | 0203 481 5237, 0203 481 5240, 0203 901 7895, 0131 460 1196 | |
| | Meeting ID: 834 2473 8418 Passcode: 579882 | |
| | To mute and unmute yourself when calling by phone, press *6 (asterisk and 6) | |
| 4 | | |

Please read the papers in advance of the meeting.

Please contact the Community Engagement Admin Team at 07717 302 986 or 07177 302 872 <u>communityengagement@brighton-hove.gov.uk</u> if you have any questions.

East

Actions of Area Panel Meeting 15th February 2022

Deadline for staff to respond: 22nd April midday

| Action | Who | Response |
|--|---|---|
| Janet Dowdell to resend an email to a resident regarding Langley Crescent in Woodingdean. | Janet Dowdell | Email sent to Cllr Simpson on 16.02.22 with detailed updated work request. |
| Ododo Dafe to contact a resident regarding the increase in costs in individual contracts. | Ododo Dafe Head of Housing Strategy & Supply | The new Head of Housing Investment & Asset Management, Geof Gage, has the more detailed information that is requested by Rosemary Johnson. He has therefore been asked (on 21 April) to be in touch with her about contract costs. |
| Grant Ritchie to talk to colleagues to draft a more comprehensive response to doors and window repairs and replacements. | Grant Ritchie Head of Housing Repairs & Maintenance | Colleagues have drafted a response within the area panel questions. |

BRIGHTON & HOVE CITY COUNCIL

HOUSING MANAGEMENT PANEL: EAST AREA

7.00pm 15 FEBRUARY 2022

VIRTUAL - ZOOM

MINUTES

Present:

Councillors: Simson, Williams

Resident Representatives: Chris El-Shabba (Robert Lodge Tenants and Residents Association) (Chair), Emma Lewis, Janet Gearing (Woodingdean Tenants and Residents Association), Rosemary Johnson (Craven Vale Community Association). Officers: Sam Warren (Community Engagement Manager), Keely McDonald (Community Engagement Officer), Ododo Dafe (Head of Strategy and Supply), Janet Dowdell (Tenancy Services Operations Manager), Grant Ritchie (Head of Housing Repairs and Maintenance). Guests: Sarah Brooker-Lewis (Local Democracy Reporter)

1 WELCOME, APOLOGIES & INTRODUCTIONS

1.1 Apologies from Alan Cooke.

1.2 The Chair invited all attendees to introduce themselves.

2 ACTIONS AND MINUTES OF THE PREVIOUS MEETING

2.1 A resident raised the following changes to the minutes:

- There was a resident that should be included in the list of attendees.
- Job titles of staff should be included in the list of attendees.
- In item 10.9 it should have read along the lines of that the residents of the East Area Panel formally have no confidence in Councillor Brennan as a Chair. Sam Warren confirmed that Councillor Brennan had informed Community Engagement that she wouldn't be able to host any further meetings due to her ill health until the next financial year, and confirmed that the review was going ahead which would give consideration to how Chairs are appointed.
- In item 10.12 it should read that the Council would inform people moving in of the specific beehive in Craven Vale.
- In item 14.5 it should read that charts should be legible when printed in black and white, not that the Council should print the charts in colour.

2.2 Thomas Bald confirmed that authors of responses to residents questions would be named going forward.

2.3 The Chair confirmed that the issue of the Chair of the East Area panel would be resolved in the upcoming Area Panel Review.

1

HOUSING MANAGEMENT PANEL: EAST AREA

2.4 Janet Dowdell confirmed that she would resend the email to a resident regarding the communal gardens in Langley Crescent as it didn't send the first time.

2.5 A response was provided to the final action where a resident had requested an update in the January meeting regarding the increase in costs of individual contracts. The resident concerned said the response did not answer the question. Ododo Dafe confirmed that each contract may have a clause regarding potential cost increases depending on the type of contract. Ododo Dafe confirmed she would get in touch with the resident to discuss the issue further.

RESOLVED:

- Janet Dowdell to resend an email to a resident regarding Langley Crescent in Woodingdean.
- Ododo Dafe to contact a resident regarding the increase in costs in individual contracts.

3 RESPONSES TO RESIDENTS QUESTIONS

Refuse and Recycling Collections on Bristol Estate

3.1 A resident confirmed that a feedback meeting was being held for Bristol Estate regarding the bin area.

Citywide Problems with Rubbish and Recycling

3.2 Cllr Simson raised that Melissa Francis and Rachel Chasseaud had given an informative presentation to the Woodingdean resident's association and suggested that this may be something other associations could look at.

3.3 Janet Dowdell confirmed that the designated cleaner for Robert Lodge only clears up mess on the floor, instead of cleaning the actual bins.

3.4 Cllr Williams raised that whilst there were more hidden homes, there weren't enough bin areas to accommodate the extra people, and this was discussed at Housing Supply and would be taken forward.

3.5 A resident was informed that CityClean report to housing when black bins are broken, and they are then authorised to replace or repair. They were also informed that if there any bins that need repairing that they could contact Janet Dowdell.

Repairs Backlog

3.6 A resident raised that leaving windows unrepaired leads to issues such as losing heat, letting in cold and wet, and being vulnerable to burglars, and that those in desperate need of replacing should be prioritised over the general window repairs programme.

3.7 A resident raised that having broken windows impacts on people's physical and mental health, and shouldn't have to wait 3 years for a replacement. She raised that disabled resident has been asking for heat in his bathroom for 3 years. Grant Ritchie raised that the repairs team are geared up to do small individual replacements, and said he would go back

2

HOUSING MANAGEMENT PANEL: EAST AREA

to his team and see what could be done about closing the gap between individual replacements and wider replacements across the city.

3.8 A resident asked why window replacements in private properties had a 10 year guarantee but Council properties only have a 1 year guarantee.

3.9 A resident raised that with bills rising it is important that windows get replaced ahead of time, and suggested that the Council reach out to developers to supplement the repairs to windows.

3.10 Councillor Williams suggested that residents attending the hospital liaison committee regarding solutions to the new helipad at the hospital, and that there is a disability adaptation scheme that could be utilised for the resident mentioned earlier who wanted his bath converted and heat installed to his bathroom. Councillor Williams also raised that there is surely something that can be done to fix immediately problematic windows.

3.11 A resident raised the following points:

- The Council have found it takes more cost to chase the paperwork of the guarantees than just doing the replacements themselves.
- Replacement requests should be given straight to Anglian.
- A meeting was held about anti-social behaviour and the broken windows meant that residents could hear everything people were saying outside.

3.12 A resident raised that she hasn't ever had a key for her windows, which invalidates any insurance.

3.13 Councillor Simson raised that a carpenter was sent out to a repair but the windows were plastic so nothing could be done.

3.14 A resident confirmed that the Panel were not happy with the response and would require another response to address the issues raised. Grant Ritchie confirmed he would speak to colleagues and provide a more comprehensive response.

RESOLVED:

• Grant Ritchie to talk to colleagues to draft a more comprehensive response to doors and window repairs and replacements.

4 AREA PANEL REVIEW OUTLINE

4.1 Sam Warren introduced the item and raised the following points:

- A review of Area Panels would be taken to ensure they are accessible, the residents feel they have a good opportunity to speak to the Council, that enough residents come and that they are chaired by the right people.
- The review is aiming to be introduced in either November or January Housing Committee.
- There will still be 4 separate areas for the panels.

4.2 Residents were asked to provide their opinions on the strengths, weaknesses, opportunities, and threats of area panels, which were:

3

Strengths

- Councillors are able to hear issues and needs, also supporting actions through links with staff and signposting, as well as general knowledge of the area.
- Focuses on important topics for tenants and leaseholders.

Weaknesses

• Officers aren't always able to attend to discuss responses in detail.

Opportunities

- A resident Chair has local knowledge and connections
- Members should be able to select Chair of choice
- Communicating actions and progress widely with residents
- Support and training for Chair role, particularly for online meetings
- Briefing sheet for new prospective reps/attendees
- Representatives able to send someone in their place
- Encourage a wider attendance from communities, not just elected representatives. Non-resident leaseholders and tenants of leaseholders.
- Targeted engagement with areas which don't have an association

Threats

• Lack of clarity on elected representative eligibility.

5 HOUSING COMMITTEE WORKPLAN PROGRESS UPDATE AND HOUSING PERFORMANCE REPORT QUARTER 3 2021/22

5.1 Ododo Dafe introduced the report starting on page 25 of the Agenda.

5.2 Councillor Simson was informed that the more properties the Council acquire, the more properties need major repairs, but not disproportionally, and that empty properties being worked on are to just get them up to standard, such as replacing bathrooms or kitchens, which are labelled as major works.

5.3 A resident asked about what support there is for homeless people in new tenancies, and how they can sustain those tenancies. Ododo Dafe raised that the Council want to keep people in their Council properties as much as possible, and that a lot of work is happening with private sector landlords as they have been helpful in reducing homelessness.

5.4 Councillor Williams added that when homeless people are housed they go through a triage system to identify any extra support they might need, such as addiction.

6 POSITIVE COMMUNITY NEWS

6.1 Councillor Williams raised that St. George's Church are introducing their community cinema which will show films in the Church, and a baby and toddler group which is also being held at the Church, looking to introduce more groups on Bristol estate and Craven Vale.

HOUSING MANAGEMENT PANEL: EAST AREA

6.2 Craven Vale are planning the Jubilee celebration going ahead on the 4th June.

6.3 A resident raised there would be tree planting on Bristol estate going ahead at the weekend.

6.4 Robert Lodge are holding an open house on the 4th June for the Jubilee.

7 ANY OTHER BUSINESS

The meeting concluded at 9.13pm

Signed

Chair

Dated this

day of

| Department | Environment |
|----------------------------------|------------------|
| Date question raised | 28-03-2022 |
| Date of Area Panel | 17-03-2022 |
| Area in city | East |
| Star rating applied by residents | 2 |
| Deadline for officer response | 22-04-2022, 12pm |
| Name of officer responding | Justine Harris |
| Department / team | Tenancy Services |

Title of question: Attendance of council officers at Area Panel Meetings

Issue raised by residents:

Some issues raised at Area Panel can be explained, understood and resolved far more effectively through dialogue between residents and officers, rather than just a written question and response.

Background:

East Residents specifically requested the presence of Rachel Chasseaud at the last Area Panel to discuss ongoing problems with refuse and recycling collections, but she didn't attend and no explanation was given.

Residents, as representatives at Area Panel, would like requests for officers to attend to be heeded and acted upon.

Action requested by residents:

It was agreed to raise this at Area Panel to ask for:

- A commitment that requests for officer attendance be taken seriously and acted upon.
- An explanation why Rachel Chasseaud didn't attend the December Area Panel

Officer Response:

Thank you for your question.

I can assure you, Area Panels are taken very seriously by officers.

Cityclean and the Council are in constant liaison with regards to waste related issues. Prior to the Area Panel, discussions were had with Cityclean and the Housing Managers and it was agreed that the Housing Managers would respond to any waste related issues at this Area Panel Meeting.

If there are any specific ongoing issues, then please contact, the officer responding, who will be happy to help.

Officer contact details:

Justine Harris, Head of Tenancy Services Justine.Harris@brighton-hove.gov.uk

Specific Action:

No Specific Action.

Timeline:

Start date:

End date:

| Date question raised28-03-2022Date of Area Panel17-03-2022Area in cityEast | |
|--|--|
| | |
| Area in city East | |
| | |
| Star rating applied by residents 3 | |
| Deadline for officer response22-04-2022, 12pm | |
| Name of officer responding Ryan Mulliner | |
| Department / team Project Manager, Planned Works | |

Title of question: Window replacement

Issue raised by residents:

The following was raised at the December Area Panel, but as the written response was combined with different queries from other areas, it was not answered adequately:

Windows that are faulty and beyond repair are not being replaced. Residents are being told that they have to wait until this work is scheduled for their block under the planned maintenance programme. At the same time, many windows are being replaced under the planned maintenance programme that are perfectly good and do not need replacing.

Background:

The following detail was provided in the minutes of the Residents Meeting 16/12/21:

Associations are receiving a lot of complaints from residents who have windows which do not keep the heat in, are draughty and let in rain. There is widespread concern about this because:

- It adversely affects the health of residents who are living for long periods of time with faulty windows
- People are paying higher heating bills because so much of the heat is escaping through the faulty windows
- Water coming in through windows can lead to further damage to the fabric of the building and more costly repairs in the long term
- This is hampering the council's plans to reduce carbon emissions as discussed at Housing Committee on 17th November 2021
- This is counter to the promises about the Decent Homes Initiatives made in BHCC 'Our plan 2020 to 2023'

In addition, the government list of emergency repairs which should be attended to within 24 hours includes 'Insecure external window, door or lock'.

Action requested by residents:

It was agreed to raise this at all Area Panels.

Residents are requesting a review of the way windows are assessed for repair or replacement which specifically addresses the following issues:

- Ensuring that insecure doors or windows are attended to within 24 hours
- Ensuring that windows which are beyond repair are treated the same as all other routine repairs and replaced within 20 days
- Assessing windows prior to replacement under the planned maintenance programme to ensure the work is necessary
- Taking into account the impact on resident's health and fuel bills when there are potential delays to window repairs or replacements

Residents would like a report from this review to be brought back to Area Panels for discussion.

Officer Response:

Thank you for your questions, please see below responses in bold.

Action requested by residents:

It was agreed to raise this at all Area Panels.

Residents are requesting a review of the way windows are assessed for repair or replacement which specifically addresses the following issues:

- Ensuring that insecure doors or windows are attended to within 24 hours
- In the event of a resident experiencing an insecure window/door, this would be raised as an emergency through our responsive repairs service who would attend within 24 hours to assess the situation and ensure this is left secure. Depending on the assessment, further works would be raised or if beyond repair a referral for replacement will be carried out to the relevant team.
- Ensuring that windows which are beyond repair are treated the same as all other routine repairs and replaced within 20 days
- Due to multiple variations, windows which are beyond repair and in need of replacement cannot always be replaced within 20 days. Depending on the location and value of works, consultation may be required which will take longer than 20 days as BHCC need to allow leaseholders appropriate time to respond. Also, we would need to allow for the manufacture time of the window itself, due to the demand in the industry at the moment this is not always achievable.
- Assessing windows prior to replacement under the planned maintenance programme to ensure the work is necessary

- All windows which are replaced under the planned maintenance programmes are replacement, this is supported by surveys by independent companies, as well as a detailed history of repair logs and general knowledge of the buildings/areas.
- Taking into account the impact on resident's health and fuel bills when there are potential delays to window repairs or replacements

Residents would like a report from this review to be brought back to Area Panels for discussion.

BHCC can ensure that when there are delays in the planned works window replacement programme, this is highlighted at area panels. Currently, the programmes are set out into priority 1 (first six months of the financial year and priority 2 (last six months of the financial year). All residents will receive communications regarding this. In the event of any delays to the programme, all residents will be notified of this with reasons for the delays.

Officer contact details:

Ryan Mulliner, Windows & Doors Project Manager Ryan.Mulliner@brighton-hove.gov.uk

Specific Action:

No Specific Action.

Timeline:

Start date:

End date:

| Department | Housing |
|----------------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| Date question raised | 28-03-2022 |
| Date of Area Panel | 17-03-2022 |
| Area in city | East |
| Star rating applied by residents | 3 |
| Deadline for officer response | 22-04-2022, 12pm |
| Name of officer responding | Alan Davis, Head of Housing Options |
| Department / team | Housing Needs |

Title of question: Drug dealing in Craven Vale – impact on local residents

Issue raised by residents:

The council are housing people who have a known history of drug dealing in areas where there is known to be an existing problem. They are failing in their duty of care to other residents by doing this.

Background:

There have been ongoing problems in Craven Vale for many years, mainly centred around three local drug dealers on the estate. Two have now been evicted after years of concerted effort by local people, but another two have been housed on the estate by the council. In addition, a vulnerable young tenant has been cuckooed within days of moving into her new flat.

The Residents Association are working closely with the council and police, but know it will take at least 9 months, and possibly years, before these new tenants are evicted for breach of tenancy.

This situation is very frustrating for local residents, especially as those who have recently been moved into the area had a known history of dealing. It is felt that the council are failing in their duty of care to local residents, especially those who are vulnerable, by housing people near to them who have previous convictions for dealing drugs.

Action requested by residents:

It was agreed to raise this at all Area Panels.

A report is requested in relation to pre-tenancy checks prior to allocations for both temporary and permanent tenancies. In particular, what action the council is taking to:

- check the history of tenants before they are housed to ensure they have not previously been evicted for violent offences or drug dealing
- ensure that people who could be vulnerable to cuckooing are not housed in areas where there is a known problem or network of drug dealers
- ensure that their duty of care to existing tenants is balanced against the needs of those they are housing

Officer Response:

Applicants' suitability for allocation to social housing is assessed in accordance with the <u>Housing Allocations Policy</u> Past convictions are considered as part of the assessment process. Each case is considered individually.

For 'homeless priority' applicants, past convictions would be investigated as part of the homeless assessment process. This assessment is used when allocating temporary accommodation.

If a block or flat is particularly sensitive, then a request can be made for a sensitive let to be considered.

Officer contact details:

Alan Davis, Head of Housing Options, <u>Alan.Davis@brighton-hove.gov.uk</u>

Specific Action: No Specific Action.

Timeline:

Start date:

End date:

| Department | Housing |
|----------------------------------|--|
| • | 5 |
| Date question raised | 28-03-2022 |
| Date of Area Panel | 17-03-2022 |
| Area in city | East |
| Star rating applied by residents | 3 |
| Deadline for officer response | 22-04-2022, 12pm |
| Name of officer responding | Geof Gage |
| Department / team | Head of Housing Investment and Asset Management |
| | |

Title of question: Replacement door and windows contract

Issue raised by residents:

Window and door repairs are taking too long because Anglian are not supplying spare parts.

Background:

A tenant recently had to wait 6 months for the brackets on their door to be replaced.

The door was originally fitted under the Anglian contract and only had a 1 year warranty. There are now problems with Anglian supplying spare parts for their doors and windows.

Action requested by residents:

It was agreed to raise this at all Area Panels. The following information is requested:

- What is being done to address the problem with Anglian not supplying spare parts for doors and windows they fitted when they had the contract?
- Why was the contract agreed with only a 1 year warranty on the doors and windows, when the industry standard is a warranty of 10 years?
- What is the warranty on the current contract for replacement doors and windows?

Officer Response:

Thank you for your question.

We would need the specific details of the property to be able to investigate the delay in the part that is referred to and for us to respond in further detail.

The warranty with Anglian was through our partners Mears and not direct with BHCC, nevertheless, we do have this warranty period with Mears and we are able to follow up on this when appropriate. However, we do of course wish to reduce delays in essential repairs and inconvenience this would cause residents, therefore we would take a view as to how long it would take to engage the warranty or to undertake the repair direct.

The warranty period was 1 year on hardware (handles etc), 5 years on the sealed glass unit and 10 years on the frame, which was the agreed warranty period at the time and was within industry standards.

The warranty with our current contractor is 2 years on furniture and gearing; 5 years on glazing and 10 years on the frames which is the industry standards.

Officer contact details:

Geof Gage – Head of Housing Investment & Asset Management <u>Geofrey.Gage@brighton-hove.gov.uk</u>

Specific Action:

No further follow up required

Timeline:

Start date: 11.04.2022

End date: 20.04.2022

| Department | Community Engagement |
|----------------------------------|---------------------------|
| Date question raised | 28-03-2022 |
| Date of Area Panel | 17-03-2022 |
| Area in city | East |
| Star rating applied by residents | 3 |
| Deadline for officer response | 22-04-2022, 12pm |
| Name of officer responding | Sam Warren |
| Department / team | Community Engagement Team |

Title of question: Compositing of items for Area Panel

Issue raised by residents:

The compositing of issues raised by two or more Areas can lead to a response that doesn't adequately address all the concerns raised by the four different resident only meetings.

Background:

The Community Engagement Team now composite issues raised by two or more areas, when preparing written responses for Area Panel. Sometimes, the problems identified, and questions raised are very similar and this can be effective. At other times the subject matter can be similar, but detail and nature of the query can be very different. When this is the case, a separate response should be provided for each item.

Action requested by residents:

It was agreed to raise this at all Area Panels.

When the written responses to Area Panel items are being prepared by officers the details and questions from each area should be considered carefully. The submissions from different areas should only be composited when officers are confident, they are actually similar to each other.

Officer Response: The Community Engagement Team have implemented a new system for officers to reply to Area Panel resident questions. Officers will be allocated a question and be given a template to reply to each question, this will include specific actions, a timeline and completion date if appropriate. This should resolve the issue of inappropriately compositing questions and make it clear for officers where there is a need for different answers. We will continue to monitor

this and are happy for residents to raise additional questions at the Area Panel meetings if they do not feel the reply has the right amount or quality of detail

Officer contact details: sam.warren@brighton-hove.gov.uk 07717303331

Specific Action: Implementation of new system for officers answering Resident Questions

Timeline: In place for May 2022 Area Panel

Start date May

End date: to be monitored and reviewed

| Department | Housing |
|----------------------------------|---------------------------------|
| Date question raised | 28-03-2022 |
| Date of Area Panel | 17-03-2022 |
| Area in city | East |
| Star rating applied by residents | 3 |
| Deadline for officer response | 22-04-2022, 12pm |
| Name of officer responding | Grant Ritchie |
| Department / team | Head of Repairs and Maintenance |

Title of question: Scaffolding

Issue raised by residents:

Scaffolding is being left up for long periods of time after work is completed, while other work is delayed due to a lack of scaffolding.

Background:

Residents have previously been advised that scaffolding will be removed from tenants' homes as soon as work is completed and inspected. However, a house in Langley Crescent, Woodingdean recently had the scaffolding left up for 6 weeks after the work was completed.

Another tenant in Woodingdean had a leak to their roof and had to wait 6 months for it to be repaired, because there wasn't any scaffolding available. It was then done because a councillor chased it up.

Action requested by residents:

It was agreed to raise this at all Area Panels.

What is being done to ensure:

- There is enough scaffolding available for all the work that requires it
- Scaffolding is not left up for any longer than necessary

Officer Response:

Thank you for your question.

I have investigated some cases, and I do agree that in some instances scaffolds are left in place for longer periods than would appear necessary. There are some occasions where scaffold is kept up as a larger repair than anticipated has been found or materials have proved difficult to source. There are also cases where we have asked for scaffold to be removed and this has been overlooked by the scaffold contractor which has resulted in a delay in removal. Our roofing team is aware of the inconvenience unnecessary scaffold can cause and we are looking to improve our performance in this area.

I have also looked at the total scaffolds currently on site and this should not cause a delay in works being undertaken. We currently have a single scaffold contractor who has adequate materials to meet our requirements however there are occasions when they already have works booked which are cancelled if more urgent works require attention.

Looking forward we will soon have a second scaffold contractor available to use which will increase our resource in this area and should improve the service.

Officer contact details:

Grant Ritchie, Head of Repairs and Maintenance, Grant.Ritchie@brighton-hove.gov.uk

Specific Action:

Appoint an additional scaffold contractor to increase resources and improve service.

Timeline:

Start date: April 2022

End date: July/August 2022

| Department | Housing |
|----------------------------------|----------------------------|
| Date question raised | 28-03-2022 |
| Date of Area Panel | 17-03-2022 |
| Area in city | East |
| Star rating applied by residents | 3 |
| Deadline for officer response | 22-04-2022, 12pm |
| Name of officer responding | Simon Plotkin |
| Department / team | Leasehold Services Manager |

Title of question: Service charge refunds

Issue raised by residents:

Service charge refunds notified in September 2021 have still not been paid.

Background:

At the beginning of the year leaseholders are given an estimate of costs for services, repairs and maintenance. They are then charged for this monthly. The following September an actual statement is sent out, accompanied by an invoice for additional costs or notice of a refund. Residents who were notified of a refund in September 2021 have still not received it.

Action requested by residents:

It was agreed to raise this at all Area Panels.

- When will refunds be paid to residents?
- What action is being taken to ensure this delay doesn't happen again?

Officer Response:

We have refunded many leaseholders and are continuing to do so. Staffing and system issues has meant that this has been a slower process than anticipated. If any leaseholder is due but has not yet received their refund please contact 01273 293074 or rtbleasehold@brighton-hove.gov.uk and we will action this as soon as possible.

We are reviewing this process for the coming Certificate and will ensure this situation is not repeated.

Simon Plotkin, Leasehold Services Manager, <u>simon.plotkin@brighton-hove.gov.uk</u>

Specific Action:

Continue to process refunds and take steps to ensure expected refunds for 2022 are not delayed.

Timeline:

Start date:

When Certificates for 2021/22 are issued in September 2022

End date:

| Department | Housing |
|----------------------------------|--|
| Date question raised | 28-03-2022 |
| Date of Area Panel | 17-03-2022 |
| Area in city | Central |
| Star rating applied by residents | 3 |
| Deadline for officer response | 22-04-2022, 12pm |
| Name of officer responding | Geof Gage |
| Department / team | Head of Housing Investment & Asset Strategy |

Title of question: Insulation of council properties

Issue raised by residents:

Insulation of council properties needs to be a priority, with clear plans about how this will be accomplished and when.

Background:

Insulation is a priority for Central residents and was raised in the budget discussion with Cllrs Gibson & Hughes (5/1/22). This has now become even more urgent, with the steep rise in fuel prices.

Action requested by residents:

A report was requested on the Council's plans for insulation and progress with this project.

Officer Response:

Thank you for your question.

We have instigated a working group to investigate and report on the issues relating to insulation to our housing stock.

We have programmes of major capital works and planned works that will address as part of the work insulation to the main blocks and in some cases isolated 'street' properties.

The working group has been established to give an overview of the situation across the City with an emphasis on individual properties but to consider works already completed and consider future requirements.

The intention will be that we will have an indication of the requirements that we may need to consider for future programmes of works.

The first meeting of the working group was in March 2022, and we are gathering further information and details to allow us to report our findings to members and to include any recommendations in our Asset Strategy.

We do not expect to conclude our findings until December 2022.

Officer contact details:

Geof Gage, Head of Housing Investment & Asset Strategy Geofrey.Gage@brighton-hove.gov.uk

Specific Action:

No follow up required

Timeline:

Start date: 11.04.2022

End date: 20.04.2022

| Department | Housing |
|----------------------------------|---------------------------------|
| Date question raised | 28-03-2022 |
| Date of Area Panel | 17-03-2022 |
| Area in city | Central |
| Star rating applied by residents | 3 |
| Deadline for officer response | 22-04-2022, 12pm |
| Name of officer responding | Grant Ritchie |
| Department / team | Head of Repairs and Maintenance |

Title of question: Repairs service

Issue raised by residents:

An efficient, quick response, high standard repairs service is the crucial service for council tenants. This is not being delivered currently and urgently needs improving. This is not just about dealing with the COVID backlog, but the overall functioning of the service.

Background:

Resident Association representatives are constantly hearing about and dealing with complaints about the repairs service. Trying to get repairs done is often very demoralising and frustrating.

Problems include:

- Difficulties getting through to the repairs line
- Messages not responded to
- Promises to ring back not kept
- Repairs very slow to happen, or not happening at all
- Repairs need constant chasing
- Repairs not done to a decent standard

This cannot be resolved in a piecemeal fashion but needs an overall review of how the repairs service is working and what can be done to improve it. Residents could contribute their experience and suggestions to this.

Action requested by residents:

Central Residents asked for a report from the Council on how they will bring the repairs service up to an acceptable standard.

Officer Response:

Thank you for your question and I am sorry that some residents are finding it difficult to communicate with the service. We receive on average 8,000 calls per month and currently, we are answering 93% of these. Whilst this is a good figure, I do accept that still leaves over 500 calls unanswered on the first attempt. As you are aware on top of Covid this has been a period of transition for the Service. At the time of writing, we are currently interviewing for new team members for Customer Services so we will hopefully be able to improve the first-time call rate going forward.

I have also investigated the concern over messages and call backs. This issue has been discussed with the customer service team by their manager and they do record and monitor response to emails and messages to ensure they are answered. If a repair is more complex it may be passed to the trade team to respond and potentially this is where the service is not meeting the desired standard. This can be quite difficult to monitor, so if the Resident Association representatives have any specific example, I would be happy to review further. As has been previously discussed at Area Panel, the repairs service has a substantial backlog of reported repairs to clear and therefore, we are still attending to works based on priority. Whilst this is the most appropriate approach it does mean that less urgent works will wait a long time and the least urgent jobs may wait many months. I appreciate the frustration this causes and unfortunately this is likely to continue until we have made progress on reducing the backlog.

I am disappointed to receive your comments regarding standards which does conflict with the statistics gathered for monthly monitoring of tenants who have had a repair undertaken which shows a 98% satisfaction rate. As discussed above I agree that residents are waiting in some cases a long time for a repair but the feedback we receive is that once a repair is completed residents are generally happy with the outcome.

Looking forward I agree that a review of the service, its performance, and priorities would be appropriate, and the involvement of residents would be welcome and critical to shaping a service that better serves the community however in the short term I believe our priority remains recovering from the impact of the pandemic and building a solid basis from which to make changes.

Officer contact details:

Grant Ritchie, Head of Housing Repair & Maintenance, Grant.Ritchie@brighton-hove.gov.uk

Specific Action:

Concentrate on the backlog of repairs and review the service once the backlog has been cleared.

Timeline:

Start date: April 22

| End date: Ongoing |
|-------------------|
|-------------------|

Resident Questions for Housing Area Panel

| Department | Housing |
|----------------------------------|--------------------------|
| Date question raised | 28-03-2022 |
| Date of Area Panel | 17-03-2022 |
| Area in city | Central |
| Star rating applied by residents | 3 |
| Deadline for officer response | 22-04-2022, 12pm |
| Name of officer responding | Justine Harris |
| Department / team | Head of Tenancy Services |

Title of question: Estate Inspections

Issue raised by residents:

Central residents would like to see the immediate reinstatement of Estate Inspections.

Background:

Estate Inspections used to happen on a regular basis. Resident Association representatives and officers would meet to walk round the area and highlight any outstanding issues. This was a constructive way for Residents Associations and officers to work together and facilitated better upkeep of properties and communal areas.

Requests to bring back Estate Inspections have been made on many occasions. The most recent response at the Area Panel was at the December 2021 meeting, which said that:

"We are planning to have two Field Officers carrying out estate inspections in each of the four Housing areas.....we are currently planning how we start up estate inspections and what staffing resource will be needed."

An update on this was promised at the next Area Panel (February 2022) but did not happen.

Action requested by residents:

A report on how and when Estate Inspection services will take place to be given at the next Area Panels (May 2022).

Officer Response:

The Estate Walkabout three-month pilot will start in May. Initially, they will be for two hours, once a week and facilitated by the area Housing Managers who have identified the routes.

During the pilot, the date, time and meeting place will be shared with ward Councillors and tenant reps. Following the pilot, the schedule will be published more widely and for the year.

We want the walkabouts to be engaging, action focused, collaborative with attention on improving the environment. Whilst the walkabouts will not be repair focussed, Health and Safety repair issues will be reported. A Surveyor and Estates manager or team leader will be in attendance to act on identified improvements.

Officer contact details:

Justine Harris, Head of Tenancy Services Justine.Harris@brighton-hove.gov.uk

Specific Action:

To notify the tenant reps and ward Cllrs when the walkabouts will be taking place. To feed back to Area Panel in August 2022, the recommendations for Estate Walkabout going forwards, with a summary of environmental improvements made following each walkabout.

| Τi | me | lin | Δ. |
|----|------|-----|----|
| | IIIC | | С. |

Start date: May 2022

End date: Ongoing.

Resident Questions for Housing Area Panel

| Department | Environment |
|----------------------------------|--------------------------------|
| Date question raised | 28-03-2022 |
| Date of Area Panel | 18-03-2022 |
| Area in city | North |
| Star rating applied by residents | 3 |
| Deadline for officer response | 22-04-2022, 12pm |
| Name of officer responding | Melissa Francis |
| Department / team | Head of Operations - Cityclean |
| 1 | |

Title of question: Refuse and recycling collection

Issue raised by residents:

Deterioration of the refuse and recycling service provided by Cityclean.

Background:

The refuse and recycling collections seem to have deteriorated even further since Christmas 2021.

- Collections are not done as frequently as they should be (weekly for refuse and fortnightly for recycling)
- When the refuse or recycling is collected, some bins are often missed
- Collections happen on the wrong day
- Refuse and recycling are collected together and all put into the same lorry
- It is often not possible to report missed collections on the Cityclean phone number 01273 292929

The following examples were given:

- In Southmount, Hollingdean the refuse should be collected weekly on Mondays and the recycling should be collected fortnightly on Mondays. The bin sheds, where refuse is stored, were not emptied for a month. The last time that <u>all</u> the recycling was collected was Monday 5/1/22. A collection was done on Thursday 24/2/22 but only some of the recycling bins were emptied.
- In Dunster Close the refuse was collected on 23/12/21, then wasn't collected again until 4/2/22.
 Cityclean have stated that the problem lies with the obstructions from parked cars, but the bin lorries have been seen to drive straight past even

when there aren't any obstructions.

On Tuesday 8th March a bin lorry came and collected all the refuse and recycling together and put it all into the same lorry.

- When residents phone the Cityclean on 01273 292929 between 9.30am to 1.30pm they are not able to get through. They select the option they need, but it just goes round in a loop and back to the original message.
- Hollingdean Residents Association have had to email Melissa Francis and Rachel Chasseaud on 32 different occasions this year to report problems with collections in their area.

Action requested by residents:

It was agreed to raise this at the Area Panel to request the following information:

- How soon will Cityclean resume a full refuse and recycling collection service?
- Is the recycling ever being sent to landfill with the rubbish?

Officer Response:

1) Cityclean is undergoing a management restructure to strengthen the management team to work with Driver Supervisors to improve missed collections.

With regards to Dunster Close – this location has been moved to the small calls round and this has led to an overall improvement in collections. There will be times when collections are missed due to vehicle breakdowns or staff shortages. Larger vehicles cannot access Dunster Close and so may drive past on their way to another location but they won't stop to collect from Dunster Close as this requires a small vehicle.

In terms of contacting Cityclean, the Environment Contact Centre telephone line is open from 9.30am to 1.30pm, Monday to Friday. No issues with the telephone line have been reported by other residents or the team. If you can advise which option the resident pressed when they experienced the problems, the team can look into this further.

2) Very little of our waste is sent to landfill.

In 2020/21, the most recent year for which a full set of data is available, 1.2% of Brighton & Hove's waste was sent to landfill.

Most of the waste we produce, and which cannot be recycled, is taken to our Energy Recovery Facility, and incinerated to create energy which powers 25,000 Sussex homes. This is about 68% of the waste.

We recycle about 30% of our waste at the Materials Recovery Facility in Hollingdean.

If we can't recycle items that have been placed in a recycling bin, they will not be sent to landfill. It will be sent to the Energy Recovery Facility and used to create energy.

| Officer contact d | letails: | | | | | |
|---|----------|--|--|--|--|--|
| <u>Melissa.Francis@brighton-hove.gov.uk</u> | | | | | | |
| Specific Action: | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| Timeline: | | | | | | |
| Start date: | | | | | | |
| End date: | | | | | | |

r

Resident Questions for Housing Area Panel

| Department | Housing |
|----------------------------------|---------------------------------|
| Date question raised | 28-03-2022 |
| Date of Area Panel | 18-03-2022 |
| Area in city | North |
| Star rating applied by residents | 3 |
| Deadline for officer response | 22-04-2022, 12pm |
| Name of officer responding | Grant Ritchie |
| Department / team | Head of Repairs and Maintenance |

Title of question: Kitchen Replacements

Issue raised by residents:

Replacement of kitchen units fitted during Mears' contract.

Background:

A lot of the replacement kitchens fitted during the Mears contract were of very poor quality. The vinyl covering to the doors comes loose at the seals and peels off. Residents reported that this is happening when the kitchens are only 5 years old. They are concerned that if they report it, only the faulty doors will be replaced and these will not match the other unit doors in their kitchen.

It was also noted that the kitchens now being fitted are of much better quality.

Action requested by residents:

It was agreed to raise this at the Area Panel to request the following information:

- How long are replacement kitchens expected to last?
- If the vinyl cover comes off some, but not all, of a tenant's kitchen unit doors, would they be provided with doors that are an exact match to their existing doors?

Officer Response:

Thank you for your question. Currently the time scale set by the Council is that a kitchen will be replaced after 30yrs unless it becomes defective in that time when it may be either replaced or repaired depending on the nature of the failure.

It is not always possible to provide an exact match as products change over time however, we always try to achieve this.

Officer contact details:

Grant Ritchie, Head of Repairs and Maintenance, Grant.Ritchie@brighton-hove.gov.uk

Specific Action:

No Specific Action.

Timeline:

Start date:

End date:

Resident Questions for Housing Area Panel

| Department | Housing | | | | |
|----------------------------------|--|--|--|--|--|
| Date question raised | 24-03-2022 | | | | |
| Date of Area Panel | 18-03-2022 | | | | |
| Area in city | West | | | | |
| Star rating applied by residents | 3 | | | | |
| Deadline for officer response | 22-04-2022, 12pm | | | | |
| Name of officer responding | Justine Harris, Head of Tenancy Services Grant Ritchie, Head of Repairs and | | | | |
| Department / team | Tenancy Services and Repairs and Maintenance | | | | |
| | | | | | |

Title of question: Avoiding empty homes

Issue raised by residents:

West residents are acutely aware of the hardship caused by shortage of housing in the city. They want the quickest possible turn around when properties become vacant.

Background:

Individuals know of properties in their areas that have been empty for some months, which raises concerns about how effectively the Council is managing this.

Action requested by residents:

Request reports at the May Area Panel covering:

- a. The turn-around time for void properties city-wide
- b. The cost of refurbishment and the time required to bring void properties up to a lettable standard.
- c. Information on what action is being taken by the Council to ensure empty properties are quickly re-let.

Officer Response:

a) During 2021/22, the average re-let time for council homes was 91 calendar days, excluding time spent in major works. We have seen a significant improvement in our lettings activity which is moving back toward prepandemic levels. In 2021/22 there were 443 re-lets of previously occupied homes, and 42 new property lets. This compares with 213 re-lets during 2020/21 and 445 re-lets during 2019/20. As of 31/03/22 there were 251 empty council homes, which at the time would have been empty for 168 calendar days. These figures are sourced from the housing management IT system and apply to general needs and seniors housing dwellings owned by the council.

- b) Currently we estimate the average cost of an empty home repair to be £3,500. This will increase to approximately £7,500 if the works include the installation of a new kitchen / bathroom and/or a re-wire. On average an empty home repair will take 14 days from start to finish, however, individual properties will vary greatly dependent on the scale of works required.
- c) Additional contractor resource has been applied to empty home repairs and we are anticipating a return to more normal work in progress levels by Autumn 2022.

Officer contact details:

Justine Harris, Head of Tenancy Services Justine.Harris@brighton-hove.gov.uk

Grant Ritchie, Head of Repairs and Maintenance Grant.Ritchie@brighton-hove.gov.uk

Specific Action:

Continue to track voids and report back to Area Panel.


Timeline:

Start date: April 2022

End date: Ongoing

46

Council housing performance Quarter 4 2021/22 (Jan to Feb 2022)







95.6% **Dwellings** meeting Decent **Homes standard**



86% Complaint responses within 10 working days



86% **Repairs calls** answered



86% Customer


services calls answered



132 days Empty home re-let time



Tenancies sustained



55 days Average time to complete routine repairs



Performance since previous quarter is:

Better





95% **Emergency** repairs within 24 hours

47

Quarter 3 2021/22 council housing performance – key trends

Top scores (compared to target)

- 1. Tenancies sustained following difficulties (97% vs 90% target)
- 2. Stage one complaints responded to within 10 working days (86% vs 80% target)
- 3. Calls answered by Repairs Helpdesk (86% vs 85% target)
- 4. Surveyed tenants satisfied with standard of repair work (97% vs 96% target)
- 5. Council homes with a valid Landlord's Gas Safety Record (100% vs 100% target)

Bottom scores (compared to target)

- 1. Average re-let time excluding time spent in major works (132 days vs 21 day target)
- 2. Average time to complete routine repairs (55 days vs 15 day target)
- 3. Stage two complaints upheld (63% vs 18% target)
- 4. Routine repairs completed within 28 calendar days (58% vs 92% target)
- 5. Dwellings meeting Decent Homes Standard (95.6% vs 100% target)

Biggest improvements (since previous quarter)

- 1. Tenancies sustained following difficulties (92% to 97%)
- 2. Stage one complaints responded to within 10 working days (82% to 86%)

Biggest drops (since previous quarter)

- 1. Stage two complaints upheld (43% to 63%)
- 2. Average re-let time excluding time spent in major works (89 to 132 days)
- 3. Average time to complete routine repairs (43 to 55 days)
- 4. Routine repairs completed within 28 calendar days (64% to 54%)
- 5. Calls answered by Repairs Helpdesk (93% to 86%)

Please note there are fewer indicators to compare than usual because results are still being finalised, at the time of writing. Fortunately, most of the indicators which were temporarily absent from the previous version of this report, following the switchover of our main housing management IT system, are now being reported again (for example, repairs completion times).

DRAFT Committee workplan progress update and Housing performance report Quarter 4 2021/22

This report provides updates on the Housing Committee priorities and work plan for 2019 to 2023, as well as a range of performance indicators. In addition, it includes a summary briefing of the results from the 2021 Survey of Tenants and Residents (STAR). We are very grateful to the 1,000 tenants who gave their time to respond to this telephone survey, share their opinions and give the council feedback to guide our improvement work.


Delivery of a complex housing service during the Covid-19 crisis had been a challenge, and gratitude is expressed to residents for the patience and understanding they have shown. While there continue to be areas of strong performance, with 20 Housing Committee Work Plan objectives on track for delivery and 10 performance indicators on or above target, some delivery challenges remain. The report highlights actions being taken to improve services where performance has been adversely impacted by the Covid-19 pandemic, and resource capacity issues.

| Performance areas | Page |
|---|---------------|
| Housing Committee priorities and work plan | |
| Additional council homes | 7, 9, 23 |
| Other additional affordable homes | 8 |
| Council home buy backs | 7, 11, 12, 24 |
| Right to Buy sales | 9, 23 |
| Sites identified for Community Land Trust development | 9 |
| Private sector housing 'requests for assistance' received | 10 |
| Rough sleepers and Covid-19 placements | 11 |
| Housing First placements | 11 |
| Energy efficiency rating of council homes | 13, 30 |
| Private sector empty homes returned to use | 15, 19 |
| Compliments and complaints – all Housing Services | 18 |
| Page 3 of 33 | |


| Performance areas | Page |
|---|------|
| Housing major adaptations | |
| Houses in Multiple Occupation (HMO) licensing | 19 |
| Private sector housing adaptations | 19 |
| Housing Options and allocations | |
| Homelessness preventions | 20 |
| Homelessness acceptances | 20 |
| Social housing waiting list | 20 |
| Temporary and emergency accommodation | |
| Households placed | 21 |
| Rent collected | 21 |
| Empty homes | 22 |
| Gas safety compliance (Seaside Homes and leased) | 22 |
| Council housing supply | |
| Additional homes by rent level | 23 |
| Council housing management | |
| Rent collected | 25 |
| Universal Credit | 25 |
| Tenants evicted | 25 |
| Anti-social behaviour (ASB) | 25 |
| Calls answered (Housing Customer Services) | 26 |
| Tenancies sustained | 26 |
| Re-let times | 27 |
| Empty homes | 27 |
| Council housing repairs and maintenance | |
| Repairs completed in time | 28 |
| Satisfaction with completed repairs | 29 |
| Calls answered (Repairs Helpdesk) | 29 |
| Decent Homes Standard | 30 |
| Gas safety compliance (council homes) | 30 |
| Lift breakdowns | 30 |
| Leaseholder disputes | 31 |
| Survey of Tenants and Residents (STAR) 2021 results | 32 |

This housing performance report covers Quarter 4 (Q4) of the 2021/22 financial year. It uses red, amber and green ratings to provide an indication of performance.


Part one provides an update of performance against the Housing Committee work plan objectives for 2019 - 2023:



Part two presents results for a range of performance indicators across Housing and similarly uses red, amber and green ratings, as well as trend arrows. Commentary has been included for indicators which are red. During **Quarter 4**, the ratings and trends were as follows:



- 10 are red (below target)
- □ 3 are TBC (to be confirmed)



Page 5 of 33

During 2021/22, the ratings and trends were as follows:



Green – on or above target (11 indicators)



Amber – near target (3 indicators)



Red – below target (9 indicators)



Improved since last time (10 indicators)




Same as last time (1 indicators)




Poorer than last time (12 indicators)

Performance indicators


- ■11 are green (on or above target)
- □ 3 are amber (near target)
- 9 are red (below target)
- □3 are TBC (to be confirmed)




Part one: Housing Committee priorities and work plan 2019-23




Page 7 of 33







Page 9 of 33




Page **10** of **33**



Page 11 of 33





5. Improving council housing and community involvement

5.1 Slightly off track: Work with tenants to develop a 'decent environment' standard

• Delayed due to service pressures and other priorities due to Covid-19

5.2 On track: Develop a fire safety programme in conjunction with tenants and residents

- Sprinklers are now fitted as standard in all council new build homes
- Sprinkler systems at St James's House and Essex Place currently reviewing following feedback from residents
- Council is working to consider the likely impacts of the proposed Building Safety legislation including proposed resident engagement strategy for building safety
- Fire Risk Assessments are carried out regularly to council housing buildings
- Currently engaging consultancy services to support a review of the new building safety guidance and implementation

5.3 On track: Review and develop a new tenant and community involvement policy/strategy for housing, ensuring we learn from the lived experience of our clients, meet the 'Involvement and Empowerment' standard and that co-production is at the heart of our tenant and resident involvement work

• New Tenant and Leaseholder Engagement Strategy was approved at Housing Committee in March 2021

5.4 On track: Extend participatory budgeting

 Report approved at March 2021 Housing Committee including development of a policy for extending participatory budgeting

5.5 On track: Develop the work undertaken with leaseholders to develop a new leasehold involvement policy, setting out how leaseholders can be supported to be more proactively involved in capital works and other leasehold matters

- Consultation with leaseholders on new planned maintenance and improvement programme contracts has concluded and contracts are now operating. Leaseholders are being consulted where the council has plans to undertake works under these contracts on a block-by-block basis
- A new procedure is now in place for engagement with tenants and leaseholders for proposed projects that will be tendered through the major works framework
- The council has completed a survey of all leaseholders and shared the results with the Leaseholder Action Group


6. Enabling more affordable home ownership

6.1 On track: Work with Community Land Trust (CLT) to develop self-build opportunities

• CLT focus is on affordable rented homes which are likely to be self-build

6.2 On track: Work with Homes for Brighton & Hove and registered providers in the city to develop 500 shared ownership properties for essential workers who live and work in the city

- 583 shared ownership homes are projected for development by March 2023
- The Living Wage Joint Venture, Homes for Brighton & Hove, has started construction on its first two sites totalling 346 homes
- Homes for Brighton & Hove is becoming a delivery company, with 168 Hyde shared ownership homes and 178 rented homes (176 council and 2 Hyde) expected for completion in 2023/24



8. Alleviating poverty

8.1 Slightly off track: Ensure the in house repairs services include measures to: provide opportunities for young people to develop skills for example through apprenticeships; maximise community benefits, including through use of local firms and labour for supply chain as well as planned and major works; and, develop pathways to employment that are inclusive in offering opportunities to all the communities we serve

- Due to the Covid-19 outbreak, the planned and major works procurement was paused as were other areas of the programme, including taking on apprentices
- Some existing apprentices were moved to empty property works so they could physically distance while working, but it has not yet been possible to recruit many additional apprentices
- However, the service has recruited three electrical apprentices and further apprenticeship opportunities will be advertised later in the year

8.2 Slightly off track: Review arrears policy to ensure all action is taken at the earliest stage, support given and eviction is used as a last resort

• Business Process Review of income collection, including arrears policies, has been delayed while resources have been diverted to the Covid-19 response

8.3 On track: Develop an arrears policy for temporary accommodation, which gives tenants the same level of support and assistance as those in permanent accommodation

Policy is in place for long term temporary accommodation which matches that in council owned housing

Part two: Performance indicators

The council is responsible for managing 11,746 council owned homes and 2,290 leaseholder homes, as well as providing temporary accommodation for 1,890 households.

| | Customer feedback – all Housing services | Target | Q3 2021/22 | Q4 2021/22 | Status against target | Trend since Q3 | 2020/21 | 2021/22 | Status against target | Trend since 2020/21 |
|--------|--|--------|------------------------|------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|------------------------|------------------------|------------------------------------|---------------------------|
| 9.1 | Compliments received from customers | Info | 60 | 112 | n/a | n/a | 349 | 304 | n/a | n/a |
| 9.2 | Stage one complaints responded to within 10 working days | 80% | 82% (120 of 146) | 86% (133 of 155) | G | $\hat{\Box}$ | 68% (234 of 346) | 84% (429 of 511) | G | $\hat{\mathbf{U}}$ |
| 9.3 | Stage one complaints upheld | Info | 49% (72 of 146) | 40% (62 of 155) | n/a | n/a | 49% (168 of 346) | 49% (250 of 511) | n/a | n/a |
| 9.4 | Stage two complaints upheld | 18% | 43% (6 of 14) | 63% (5 of 8) | R | $\overline{\Box}$ | 26% (11 of 43) | 49% (25 of 51) | R | $\overline{\Box}$ |
| respor | There is an increased focus on how complaints are handled across the council, especially on improving the overall quality of complaint responses in the early stages of the complaints process, in order to reduce the need for cases to be escalated from stage one to stage two for further investigation. | | | | | | | | | |

64

| | Private sector housing | Target | Q3 2021/22 | Q4 2021/22 | Status against target | Trend since Q3 | 2020/21 | 2021/22 | Status against target | Trend since 2020/21 | |
|--------|---|--------|-------------------------------|-------------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|----------------------------|-------------------------------|------------------------------------|----------------------------------|--|
| 10.1 | Total licensed Houses in Multiple Occupation (HMOs) | Info | 3,368 | 3,460 | n/a | n/a | 3,532 | 3,460 | n/a | n/a | |
| 10.2 | HMOs where all special conditions have been met (for licences issued over 12 months ago) | 47% | 52.97% (1,016 of 1,918) | 56.50% (1,112 of 1,968) | G | \bigcirc | 49.8% (821 of 1,649) | 56.50% (1,112 of 1,968) | G | $\hat{\Box}$ | |
| | dicator above measures cases where g of cases that need to be verified as | | | | | | npleted. We | are currentl | y working t | hrough a | |
| 10.3 | Private sector empty homes returned to use | 32 | 40 | 19 | R | $\overline{\Box}$ | 136 | 145 | G | $\hat{\mathbf{U}}$ | |
| brough | The Q3 figure above has increased from 37 to 40 since last reported. This is because Council Tax records have identified more homes brought back in use during this period, and there is a reporting lag between the date they were back in use and the date this could be confirmed. The 2021/22 result of 145 exceeds the target of 126 for the year. | | | | | | | | | | |

65

| ŗ. | Housing adaptations | Target | Q3 2021/22 | Q4 2021/22 | Status against target | Trend since Q3 | 2020/21 | 2021/22 | Status against target | Trend since 2020/21 |
|------|---|--------|---------------|---------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|---------|---------|------------------------------------|---------------------------|
| 11.1 | Private housing – average weeks taken to approve Disabled Facilities Grant applications | 10 | 15.7 | 14.9 | | | 17.8 | 19.08 | | $\overline{\Box}$ |

The amber threshold for this indicator is set at 26 weeks based on historic guidance timescales, with the target of 10 weeks reflecting revised guidance timescales. The indicator relating to adaptations to council homes is temporarily absent from this report while work is underway to develop new reporting systems following the switchover of our main housing management IT system since the start of July 2021. Once this work is complete, we intend to retrospectively provide the results in future versions of this report.

| 1 | Housing Needs – Housing Options and allocations | Target | Q3 2021/22 | Q4 2021/22 | Status against target | Trend since Q3 | 2020/21 | 2021/22 | Status against target | Trend since 2020/21 |
|----------|---|--------|---------------|---------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|---------|---------|------------------------------------|---------------------------|
| 12.1 | Households prevented from becoming homeless (by council and partner agencies) | 424 | 394 | 410 | | \bigcirc | 1,676 | 1,658 | < | \bigcirc |
| 12.2 | New households accepted as homeless | Info | 67 | 113 | n/a | n/a | 195 | 306 | n/a | n/a |
| 12.3 | Number of households on the social housing waiting list | Info | 5,265 | 7,686 | n/a | n/a | 6,982 | 7,686 | n/a | n/a |

| | Housing Needs – temporary accommodation (including emergency accommodation) | Target | Q3 2021/22 | Q4 2021/22 | Status against target | Trend since Q3 | 2020/21 | 2021/22 | Status against target | Trend since 2020/21 | | |
|------|--|----------|--------------------------------|-------------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|--------------------------------|-------------------------------|------------------------------------|----------------------------------|--|--|
| 13.1 | Total households in temporary accommodation (homeless and through service level agreements) | 1,911 | 1,965 | 1,890 | G | | 2,111 | 1,890 | G | $\hat{\mathbf{U}}$ | | |
| 13.2 | Rent collected for emergency accommodation (year to date including loss from empty homes) | 89.21% | 81.12% (£3.8m of £4.6m) | 80.41% (£4.9m of £6.1m) | R | \bigcirc | 78.35% (£4.5m of £5.7m) | 80.41% (£4.9m of £6.1m) | R | $\hat{\mathbf{U}}$ | | |
| | The indicator above includes rent loss from empty emergency accommodation dwellings, which has been higher than usual while people who were placed in hotels and hostels in response to Covid-19 are moved on. The collection rate was 97.19% for occupied properties. | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 13.3 | as above but excluding rent loss from empty homes | For info | 97.69% (£3.8m of (£3.8m) | 97.19% (£4.9m of £5.0m) | n/a | n/a | 87.30% (£4.5m of £5.2m | 97.19% (£4.9m of £5.0m) | n/a | n/a | | |
| 13.4 | Rent collected for leased properties (year to date including loss from empty homes) | 96.10% | 86.68% (£4.8m of £5.5m) | 85.59% (£6.7m of £7.8m) | R | \bigcirc | 96.96% (£7.3m of £7.5m) | 85.59% (£6.7m of £7.8m) | R | \bigcirc | | |
| | reporting system has recently been d will closely monitor trends into the ne | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 13.5 | as above but excluding rent loss from empty homes | For info | 93.54% (£4.8m of £5.1m) | 92.91% (£6.7m of £7.2m) | n/a | n/a | 102.21% (£7.3m of £7.1m) | 92.91% (£6.7m of £7.2m) | n/a | n/a | | |
| 13.6 | Rent collected for Seaside Homes (year to date including loss from empty homes) | 91.00% | 90.72% (£3726k £4107k | 89.50% (£5.2m of £5.8m) | A | $\overline{\Box}$ | 89.81% (£4.5m of £5.0m) | 89.50% (£5.2m of £5.8m) | A | $\overline{\mathbf{v}}$ | | |
| 13.7 | as above but excluding rent loss from empty homes | For info | 97.22% (£3.7m of £3.8m) | 96.22% (£5.2 of £5.4m) | n/a | n/a | 95.46% (4.5m of 4.7m) | 96.22% (£5.2 of £5.4m) | n/a | n/a | | |

Page 21 of 33

| | Housing Needs – temporary accommodation (including emergency accommodation) | Target | Q3 2021/22 | Q4 2021/22 | Status against target | Trend since Q3 | 2020/21 | 2021/22 | Status against target | Trend since 2020/21 | | |
|---------|--|----------|--------------------------|--------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|------------------------------------|---------------------------|--|--|
| 13.8 | Empty temporary accommodation homes | For info | 141 | 99 | n/a | n/a | 112 | 99 | n/a | n/a | | |
| 13.9 | Seaside Homes with a valid Landlord's Gas Safety Record | 100% | 99.5% (424 of 426) | 100% (426 of 426) | G | $\hat{\mathbf{U}}$ | 99.8% (425 of 426) | 100% (426 of 426) | G | $\hat{\mathbf{U}}$ | | |
| 13.10 | Leased properties with a valid Landlord's Gas Safety Record | For info | 82.3% (508 of 617) | 82.9% (505 of 609) | n/a | n/a | 91.6% (592 of 645) | 82.9% (505 of 609) | n/a | n/a | | |
| landlor | The indicator above does not have a target because when it comes to leased properties the council's role is to monitor progress and remind landlords to arrange gas safety checks, whereas the council's gas contractor carries out checks in Seaside and council owned homes. The calculation includes empty properties and the service is looking into revising this indicator to apply only to occupied properties. | | | | | | | | | | | |

| | Council housing – supply | Q2 2021/22 | Q3 2021/22 | 2020/21 | 2021/22 | | | | | | |
|---------|---|-------------------|-------------------|--------------------|--------------------|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| 14.1 | Additional council homes | 20 | 28 | 144 | 107 | | | | | | |
| 14.2 | at Local Housing Allowance (LHA) rents | 25% (5 of 20) | 61% (17 of 28) | 67% (97 of 144) | 34% (36 of 107) | | | | | | |
| *All ho | mes at LHA rates were for use as temporary housing | | | | | | | | | | |
| 14.3 | at 37.5% Living Wage rents | 65% (13 of 20) | 32% (9 of 28) | 18% (26 of 144) | 39% (42 of 107) | | | | | | |
| 14.4 | at 27.5% Living Wage rents | 10% (2 of 20) | 7% (2 of 28) | 12% (17 of 144) | 20% (21 of 107) | | | | | | |
| 14.5 | at social rents | 0% (0 of 20) | 0% (0 of 28) | 3% (4 of 144) | 7% (8 of 107) | | | | | | |
| 14.6 | Council homes sold through the Right to Buy | 11 | 12 | 24 | 47 | | | | | | |
| Of the | 21 homes sold during 2021/22 to date, 10 were for leaseho | ld (flats) and | 11 were for fr | reehold (house | es) | | | | | | |
| 14.7 | Net change in the number of council homes – all rent levels | +9 | +16 | +120 | +60 | | | | | | |
| 14.8 | Net change in the number of council homes – social and 27.5% Living Wage rent homes only | -9 | -10 | -3 | -18 | | | | | | |
| 14.9 | Total council owned homes | 11,722 | 11,738 | 11,686 | 11,746 | | | | | | |
| | Total council owned dwelling stock of 11,746 includes 10,714 general needs, 877 seniors housing and 155 temporary housing (including dwellings not yet handed over) | | | | | | | | | | |

| Buy backs by application date | 2017/18 | 2018/19 | 2019/20 | 2020/21 | 2021/22 | Total |
|-------------------------------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|-------|
| Total applications | 5 | 53 | 88 | 157 | 157 | 460 |
| Of which, became purchases | 2 | 32 | 53 | 88 | 36 | 211 |
| Council declined | 1 | 13 | 11 | 16 | 9 | 50 |
| Owner declined offer | 1 | 5 | 12 | 15 | 11 | 44 |
| Owner withdrew | 1 | 3 | 12 | 33 | 43 | 92 |
| Outcome pending | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 58 | 63 |

14.10 Council housing – buy backs (Home Purchase and Next Steps / Housing First)

| Completed buy backs by rent level | 2017/18 | 2018/19 | 2019/20 | 2020/21 | 2021/22 | Total |
|-----------------------------------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|-------|
| Completed purchases | 1 | 13 | 43 | 65 | 89 | 211 |
| general needs social rent | 0 | 0 | 1 | 4 | 0 | 5 |
| general needs 27.5% Living Wage | 0 | 0 | 5 | 17 | 21 | 43 |
| general needs 37.5% Living Wage | 1 | 5 | 24 | 15 | 42 | 87 |
| temporary housing at LHA rates | 0 | 8 | 13 | 29 | 26 | 76 |

Summary of all buy backs since start of programmes, September 2017

| Total purchases | Social rent | 27.5% LWR | 37.5% LWR | LHA rate | No. rent reserve applied | Total rent reserve applied | Net modelled subsidy (surplus) over all properties to date (£) |
|--------------------|----------------|--------------|--------------|-------------|-----------------------------|----------------------------|--|
| 211* | 5 | 43 | 87 | 76 | 46 ** | £1.973m *** | £232,000 |

* Of which 190 are flats (5 studio, 69 one bed, 99 two bed, 16 three beds plus) and 21 are houses (4 two bed, 17 three beds plus)

** Following Housing Committee decision to use rent reserve to keep rents as low as possible

*** Applied during 2020/21 – a further £830k is anticipated to be used during 2022/23

| | Council housing – management | Target | Q3 2021/22 | Q4 2021/22 | Status against target | Trend since Q3 | 2020/21 | 2021/22 | Status against target | Trend since 2020/21 | | |
|-------|--|--------|---------------------------------|---------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|---------------------------------|---------|------------------------------------|----------------------------------|--|--|
| 15.1 | Rent collected from council tenants (forecast for whole financial year) | 95.68% | 95.58% (£50.8m of £53.2m) | TBC | твс | TBC | 96.40% (£51.0m of £52.9m) | TBC | ТВС | TBC | | |
| | The Q3 figures above are forecasts for the whole of the 2021/22 financial year, and the Q4 figure (TBC) will be the actual result for the year. The methodology excludes rent loss from empty properties and includes arrears from the end of the previous financial year. | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 15.2 | Tenants known to claim Universal Credit (UC) | Info | 25% (2,820 of 11,298) | TBC | n/a | n/a | 25% (2,886 of 11,297) | TBC | n/a | n/a | | |
| 15.3 | UC tenants in arrears who have an alternative payment arrangement | Info | 48% (875 of 1,837) | TBC | n/a | n/a | 50% (762 of 1,534) | ТВС | n/a | n/a | | |
| 15.4 | Arrears of UC tenants as a proportion of total arrears | Info | 63% (£1.5m of £2.4m) | ТВС | n/a | n/a | 69% (£1.3m of £1.9m) | ТВС | n/a | n/a | | |
| 15.5 | Tenants evicted due to rent arrears | Info | 0 | 0 | n/a | n/a | 0 | 0 | n/a | n/a | | |
| 15.6 | Tenants evicted due to anti- social behaviour (ASB) | Info | 2 | 0 | n/a | n/a | 0 | 2 | n/a | n/a | | |
| 15.7 | New reports of ASB from victims and witnesses | Info | 203 | 183 | n/a | n/a | - | - | - | - | | |
| 15.8 | ASB perpetrator cases opened | Info | 155 | 142 | n/a | n/a | - | - | - | - | | |
| 15.9 | ASB perpetrator cases closed | Info | 99 | 151 | n/a | n/a | - | - | - | - | | |
| 15.10 | Average days to close ASB perpetrator cases | Info | 56 | 76 | n/a | n/a | - | - | - | - | | |

| Ľ | Council housing – management | Target | Q3 2021/22 | Q4 2021/22 | Status against target | Trend since Q3 | 2020/21 | 2021/22 | Status against target | Trend since 2020/21 | | |
|-------|---|--------|----------------------------|----------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|----------------------------|------------------------------|------------------------------------|---------------------------|--|--|
| 15.11 | Active ASB perpetrator cases at quarter end | Info | 175 | 166 | n/a | n/a | - | - | - | - | | |
| manag | The anti-social behaviour (ASB) indicators in this section have been developed to reflect the way ASB is recorded on the new housing management IT system, which includes reports from victims and witnesses as well as linked cases dealt with in relation to the perpetrators. There are often multiple victims and witnesses linked to a single perpetrator. Directly comparable data is not available prior to July 2021. | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 15.12 | Calls answered by Housing Customer Services | 85% | 90% (4,272 of 4,763) | 86% (5,010 of 5,826) | G | \bigcirc | 94% (3,193 of 3,410) | 86% (19,240 of 22,456) | G | \bigcirc | | |
| 15.13 | 15.13 Tenancies sustained following difficulties | | 92% (12 of 13) | 97% (28 of 29) | G | \bigcirc | 96% (69 of 72) | 95% (93 of 98) | G | $\overline{\mathbf{v}}$ | | |

| ٩ | Council housing – empty homes | Target | Q3 2021/22 | Q4 2021/22 | Status against target | Trend since Q3 | 2020/21 | 2021/22 | Status against target | Trend since 2020/21 |
|--------|---|--------|---------------|---------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|---------|---------|------------------------------------|----------------------------------|
| 15.14 | Average re-let time (calendar days) excluding time spent in major works | 21 | 89 | 132 | R | $\overline{\mathbf{U}}$ | 97 | 96 | R | |
| have b | Re-let times are high while recovery efforts remain underway to tackle the backlog of empty council homes, which includes many homes which have been empty for long periods of time. However, the number of re-lets during 2021/22 (472) was up on 2020/21 (213) and above pre- pandemic levels seen during 2019/20 (445). | | | | | | | | | |
| 15.15 | Average re-let time (calendar days) including time spent in major works | Info | 223 | 211 | n/a | n/a | 135 | 210 | n/a | n/a |
| 15.16 | Number of previously occupied council homes re-let (general needs and seniors) | Info | 122 | 140 | n/a | n/a | 213 | 472 | n/a | n/a |
| 15.17 | Number of new council homes let for the first time (general needs and seniors) | Info | 16 | 8 | n/a | n/a | 58 | 43 | n/a | n/a |
| 15.18 | Empty general needs and seniors council homes (includes new homes) | Info | 293 | 251 | n/a | n/a | 274 | 251 | n/a | n/a |
| 15.19 | Empty council owned temporary accommodation homes (includes new homes not yet handed over) | Info | 15 | 32 | n/a | n/a | 27 | 32 | n/a | n/a |

Please note the figures for the first three indicators in the table below are provisional as there are currently issues with reporting of repairs data arising from the switchover of our main housing management IT system since the start of July 2021. At present two IT systems are being used and it is not possible to integrate reporting between them, meaning that performance data is currently being extracted and manually combined from the two systems, which is likely to be less accurate than automatic system reporting (due to the volume and multiple stages of the jobs managed by the repairs and maintenance service). We are in the process of procuring a new works management system. Once we have this new system, we should be able to report on all jobs automatically. Please note also that additional quality checks have been carried out upon completion of the 2021/22 financial year, which has slightly changed the results for Q3 2021/22 when compared to the previous report.

| , , , | Council housing – repairs and maintenance | Target | Q3 2021/22 | Q4 2021/22 | Status against target | Trend since Q3 | 2020/21 | 2021/22 | Status against target | Trend since 2020/21 |
|--|--|--------|------------------------------|------------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|--------------------------------|--------------------------------|-----------------------------|---------------------------|
| 16.1 | Emergency repairs completed within 24 hours | 99% | 95.6% (2,572 of 2,689) | 95.0% (2,494 of 2,626) | R | | 98.7% (11,338 of 11,486) | 96.0% (10,611 of 11,052) | R | \bigcirc |
| Emergency response times are still being impacted by a shortage of trades people and the impact on staff resource of the Covid pandemic and self-isolation guidance, athough this situation has improved since the start of Q4. Recruitment to full staff capacity is still underway although progress has been appointing to positions across trade and office-based roles. | | | | | | | | | | |
| 16.2 | Routine repairs completed within 28 calendar days | 92% | 63.6% (2,425 of 3,810) | 53.8% (2,076 of 3,861) | R | $\overline{\Box}$ | 71.2% (7,415 of 10,417) | 63.5% (9,976 of 15,702) | R | $\overline{\mathbf{v}}$ |
| Recently completed routine repairs have included jobs from a backlog which has built up since the start of the pandemic and due to reduced staffing levels and availability of contractors. This means that these jobs took longer than their target timescales once they were completed. Recruitment to full staff capacity is underway (as per the comment above) and the service is currently exploring options for additional fixed term positions as part of a plan to clear the backlog. | | | | | | | | | | |
| 16.3 | Average time to complete routine repairs (calendar days) | 15 | 44 | 55 | R | $\overline{\Box}$ | 35 | 46 | R | $\overline{\mathbf{v}}$ |
| As abc | DVe. | 1 | | | 1 | | | | 1 | 1 |

| s e | | ncil housing – repairs maintenance | Target | Q3 2021/22 | Q4 2021/22 | Status against target | Trend since Q3 | 2020/21 | 2021/22 | Status against target | Trend since 2020/21 |
|------|----|--|--------|------------------------------|------------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|------------------------------|------------------------------|-----------------------------|---------------------------|
| 16.4 | Ľ, | Calls answered by Repairs Helpdesk | 85% | 93% (19,739 of 21,159) | 80% (18,415 of 22,891) | | ۲¢ | 95% (40,253 of 42,502) | 90% (77,186 of 85,737) | G | \bigcirc |
| 16.5 | | Surveyed tenants satisfied with standard of work | 96% | 97% (199 of 205) | 97% (107 of 110) | O | | 95.5% (3,749 of 3,924) | 98.1% (1,195 of 1,218) | G | $\hat{\mathbf{U}}$ |
| 16.6 | - | ed tenants satisfied with customer service | 96% | 99% (203 of 205) | 99% (109 of 110) | G | | 98.1% (3,851 of 3,924) | 99.4% (1,211 of 1,218) | G | $\hat{\mathbf{U}}$ |

| and the second s | | Incil housing – repairs maintenance | Target | Q3 2021/22 | Q4 2021/22 | Status against target | Trend since Q3 | 2020/21 | 2021/22 | Status against target | Trend since 2020/21 |
|--|--|---|----------|--------------------------------|--------------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|--------------------------------|--------------------------------|------------------------------------|----------------------------------|
| 16.6 | | Dwellings meeting Decent Homes Standard | 100% | 96.9% (11,365 of 11,729) | 95.6% (11,221 of 11,737) | R | $\overline{\Box}$ | 91.9% (10,737 of 11,686) | 95.6% (11,221 of 11,737) | R | $\overset{\frown}{\leftarrow}$ |
| kitcher | The stock condition survey identified many dwellings which did not meet the standard, and there had been a lack of planned installations of new kitchens and bathrooms through 2020 due to Covid restrictions, shortages of supplies and components, and until the mobilisation of new contractors was completed (eg for kitchens and bathrooms). Despite a slight decrease during Q4, performance has increased during 2021/22. | | | | | | | | | | |
| 16.7 | Energy (out of | y efficiency rating of homes 100) | 76.8 | 68.1 | 68.2 | R | \bigcirc | 68.0 | 68.2 | R | $\hat{\mathbf{U}}$ |
| end of | March 2 | us target was set in line with 2021). A retrofit plan is being a programme to install solar | prepared | for Housing (| Committee to | show how | v Housing | | | | |
| 16.8 | ¥= ¥ | Council homes with a valid Landlord's Gas Safety Record | 100% | 100% (10,044 of 10,044) | 100% (10,044 of 10,044) | G | | 100% (10,026 of 10,026) | 100% (10,044 of 10,044) | G | |
| 16.9 | 00 | Lifts restored to service within 24 hours | 95% | 92% (288 of 312) | TBC | ТВС | TBC | 94% (690 of 736) | ТВС | TBC | ТВС |
| at Seni Europe | There have been delays in repairing some lifts due to aging equipment and difficulty sourcing spare parts. Aging equipment is being modernised at Seniors schemes during 2021/22 and 2022/23; and the lift contactor will investigate potential to retain a greater stock of critical spares from European supply chains. Please note the indicator 'Lifts – average time taken (days) to restore service when not within 24 hours' has been taken out of this report as part of a review of lifts performance measures and targets. | | | | | | | | | | |

Following a request at Area Panel in February 2022, a summary of the capital works programme will be included as an accompaniment to future versions of this report.

76

| '× | Leaseholder disputes | Q3 2021/22 | Q4 2021/22 | 2020/21 | 2021/22 |
|------|---|------------|------------|---------|---------|
| 17.1 | Stage one disputes opened | 6 | 4 | 37 | 17 |
| 17.2 | Stage one disputes closed | 7 | 1 | 18 | 15 |
| 17.3 | Active stage one disputes (end quarter) | 21 | 24 | 22 | 24 |
| 17.4 | Stage two disputes opened | 1 | 1 | 5 | 5 |
| 17.5 | Stage two disputes closed | 1 | 1 | 5 | 3 |
| 17.6 | Active stage two disputes (end quarter) | 3 | 3 | 1 | 3 |
| 17.7 | Stage three disputes opened | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| 17.8 | Stage three disputes closed | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| 17.9 | Active stage three disputes (end quarter) | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 |

Area Panel Briefing: Survey of Tenants and Residents (STAR) 2021 results

Background

The national STAR survey, designed by Housemark, asks council tenants their opinion on council landlord services. Commissioned by the Council, an external company, ARP Research, interviewed a random sample of 1,000 tenants and leaseholders during November and December 2021.

Methodology

The survey was carried out by phone for the second time, enabling a better response rate to be achieved compared to previous postal surveys (ie 829 in 2016). The methodology also ensures the sample is representative of the age profile of tenants, as respondents to postal surveys tended to be older. The methodology was the same for the 2019 survey and so the results are directly comparable, although Housemark have introduced some new questions as shown in the table below.

Results


Housemark note that there have generally been significant falls in overall satisfaction, where the impacts of the Covid-19 pandemic have been felt across the social housing sector.

The table below provides the main results, trends and benchmarking data. Overall satisfaction with the Housing service decreased from 76% to 70%, however we can see that we compare well against benchmark authorities. The key themes from tenants' comments were focusing investment on property improvements (55% of respondents) and neighbourhoods (19%), improving cleaning and security in communal areas, delivering new homes, and carrying out repairs more quickly (including catching up on outstanding repairs).

| la Rester | % of res | pondents | Benchmark* | | |
|--------------------------------|----------------|----------|----------------|--------|-------|
| Indicator | 2019 | 2021 | Trend | Bench | marĸ≞ |
| Service from Housing overall | 76% | 70% | $\hat{\nabla}$ | 70% | - |
| Overall quality of home | 71% | 71% | | 70% | - |
| Safety and security of home | New | 80% | n/a | 79% | - |
| Last completed repair | New | 76% | n/a | 83% | - |
| Rent provides value for money | 91% | 89% | $\hat{\nabla}$ | 80% | 1 |
| East to deal with | New | 72% | n/a | 67% | - |
| Listens to and acts upon views | 67% | 59% | $\hat{\nabla}$ | 58% | 1 |
| Standard of customer service | 85% 77% | | $\hat{\nabla}$ | Not us | ed by |
| Clean and safe communal areas | New | 70% | n/a | House | Mark |

*Comparison with 11 English councils recommended by HouseMark

Page 32 of 33



Actions to improve performance

- Post-Covid recovery plan is in place to reduce repairs backlog
- Residents involved in ASB review, actions to be shared soon
- Better enquiries and complaints monitoring to improve response times, and Housing Customer Services resumes full phone service in June 2022
- Planned works such as kitchen and bathroom replacements resumed under new contracts in 2021/22
- Major works resuming under new contracts starting in 2022/23
- Increasing council homes eg 89 delivered through buy-backs during 2021/22
- Converted a former housing office into 10 new temporary accommodation flats
- Installed 16 air source heat pumps which reduce CO2 emissions and lower energy bills
- Targeting fly-tipping hot-spots with new CCTV monitoring
- Assessing factors leading to lower satisfaction among tenants under 65

The full survey report will be published on the council website from 16 May 2022 and can be found using this link <u>here</u> or at the following address: <u>https://www.brighton-hove.gov.uk/housing/council-housing/survey-tenants-and-residents-star</u>

Page 33 of 33

Information paper for all Area Panels May 2022

Tenant and Leaseholder Engagement – Formal groups and meetings

The council Community Engagement team support a range of work to talk to council tenants and leaseholders. One element of this is our formal groups where we meet to focus on specific subjects and work with tenants and leaseholders to improve services. These groups create opportunities for tenants and leaseholders to come together to share their issues and ideas to improve the quality of housing services and enhance the quality of life for people living in council accommodation. We publicise the dates of all meetings on the council website https://www.brighton-hove.gov.uk/housing/council-housing/resident-involvement-meeting-diary#

Area Panels

There are 4 Area Panels in the City, East, West, North and Central.

Area Panels are forums to bring together local council tenants and leaseholders, housing staff, councillors and local groups. The Area Panels consider issues relating to their local areas and reports relating to the council's role as landlord, including performance, services, budgets, major repairs programme development and delivery, policy issues and service development.

The Area Panels are led by an elected councillor and a resident representative who work together to agree agendas, promote resident involvement in the Panel and develop its role in overseeing service delivery and performance. The members of the Area Panel are ward councillors, representatives of resident associations, a representative of the Leaseholder Action Group. All council tenants are warmly welcomed. There are 5 meetings in each area per year. Please see meeting dates in the resident involvement diary https://www.brighton-

hove.gov.uk/housing/council-housing/resident-involvement-meeting-diary#

Involvement & Empowerment

The involvement and Empowerment Group looks at the best ways of listening to and hearing what tenants and leaseholders have to say. Residents work with council officers to look at the best ways of communicating with tenants and leaseholders. This includes how to support the development of tenant and resident associations, developing resident training and learning, supporting the councils Homing In magazine, and developing new ways of reaching and supporting tenants to ensure that a diverse range of voices of residents and leaseholders are heard. The group meets 6 times per year and is led by a resident with the support of the Community Engagement Team. If you would like to join a meeting either in person or online please contact us at <u>community.engagement@brightonhove.gov.uk</u>

Leaseholder Action Group

The Leaseholder Action Group (LAG) works to represent the interests of leaseholders within council housing. The LAG committee is elected annually by leaseholders and works with the Housing Leaseholder Team to raise issues of concern from within the Leaseholder community.

The LAG Committee can be contacted by telephone 01273 605225

Estate Development Budget

The Estate Development Budget is a pot of money set aside from tenant's rents to be spent on improving residents' estates and quality of life. Projects the money is spent on are created by residents and must clearly be for the benefit of tenants and leaseholders. It could be a new noticeboard for community news, a parent and toddler group for an estate or gardening equipment to help residents to grow food. Each project is voted on by a panel of residents who check that the project has the backing of the local community and will be of benefit to them. To apply please contact <u>lucy.beasley@brighton-hove.gov.uk</u>

City Wide Conference

If you live in council housing, the Citywide Conference is a yearly meeting for you to talk about changes or improvements you'd like to see in the housing service. The conference theme is decided by residents of the Involvement and Empowerment Group. Each year there are far ranging topics with guest speakers a chance to meet with other residents from across the city, you can also talk to our senior housing managers directly about any housing concerns or ideas you may have.

The conference has been run for several years and has been successful in linking residents with council staff, it has prioritised things that matter for residents and made a real difference to residents across the city. All tenants of council homes are welcome. For more information contact <u>rebbecca.mann@brighton-hove.gov.uk</u>

Tenant disability network

The Tenant Disability Network (TDN) is a resident led group that is support by the Community Engagement Team. The group aims to engage with disabled council tenants & leaseholders, carers and Personal Assistants(PA) and to gather their views and support them to improve their experience of living in council homes. TDN focuses on housing services, linking in with the council housing managers to work collaboratively to help in improving services. TDN also gathers information about issues and projects that effect disabled people and works to distribute this

so more tenants and leaseholders are informed about the services and support within the city. For more information or to attend a meeting in person or online please contact <u>Richard.wheeler@brighton-hove.gov.uk</u>

Home Group

The Home Group is a forum where tenants and leaseholders can get involved in decisions that affect their homes. The purpose is to focus on the parts of the Housing service relating to buildings; for example, windows and roof replacement, repairs called in by tenants, lifts in blocks and other mechanical or electrical services. Residents set the agenda, chair the meetings and work closely with different Housing staff to look at topics of interest. The Community Engagement Team help residents to keep track of topics, actions, including minuting the meeting and ensuring they are welcoming for new people too. For more information or to attend a meeting in person or online please contact Hannah.barker@brighton-hove.gov.uk

Resident inspectors

The Resident Inspector project is open to any tenant or leaseholder who is interested to take a practical look at Council Housing buildings or projects being completed. They take part in a variety of activities, visiting various parts of the city to look at repairs or maintenance taking place, or looking at works shortly after completed. This includes looking at newly built or renovated properties, or empty properties before they are let. Resident Inspectors might interview other tenants or workers, complete short questionnaires to gather information that feeds back to Housing teams. The Inspectors meet regularly to review what they have found and plan further studies. For more information or to become a resident inspector please contact Hannah.barker@brighton-hove.gov.uk

Estate Development Budget Task and Finish Group

This group has been created to finish the review of the Estate Development Budget process. Its purpose is to make recommendations to ensure its straightforward to apply, that decision making is consistent and transparent and that decisions on how the money is spent is led by residents. Task and Finish Groups are created to tackle a single issue, once this group's work is complete and the recommendations have been presented at the Area Panel meetings, this group will be closed. For more information or to attend a meeting in person or online please contact Keely.mcdonald@brighton-hove.gov.uk

Sam Warren

Community Engagement Manager Communities, Equality and Third Sector Team 07717303331